

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY

NOV 032008

Oct. 22, 2008

The Honorable Kevin J. Martin Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 8-B201 Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Jonathan S. Adelstein Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 8-A302 Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Robert M. McDowell Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 8-C302 Washington, DC 20554 The Honorable Michael J. Copps Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 8-B115 Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Deborah Taylor Tate Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 8-A204 Washington, DC 20554 ORIGINAL

Re: Universal Service Contribution Methodology

WC Docket No. 06-122

Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service

CC Docket No. 96-45

Written Ex Parte Communication

Dear Commissioners:

I am writing on behalf of Marquette University in response to reports that the Federal Communications Commission is considering a proposal to change the current system for determining the amount of contributions to the federal Universal Service Fund.

As I understand this proposal, the FCC intends to base contributions to the fund from residential customers on how many telephone numbers are assigned to each carrier's customers, to retain the current revenue-based contribution mechanism for commercial customers, including colleges and universities, and to request comments on whether to modify the contribution system for

No. of Copies rec'd 0+3 List ABCDE commercial customers in the future. Further, it appears that the proposal rejects a suggestion by AT&T and Verizon that all contributions, including those from commercial customers, should be based on telephone number assignments.

For the reasons described below, Marquette believes that the FCC should not adopt any modification that uses telephone numbers to calculate commercial customers' contributions to the federal Universal Service Fund, and that the FCC should retain the current revenues-based system for commercial services until it can devise a system that does not impose an inequitable burden on large users of telephone numbers, including colleges and universities.

Any change in the contribution mechanism that depends solely on counting telephone numbers, without accounting for the way those numbers are used, would have a significant negative effect on colleges and universities because it would increase their universal service costs significantly. For instance, the AT&T/Verizon proposal would impose a uniform fee for each assigned telephone number in the United States, a fee that they estimate would range from \$1 to \$1.10 per month. This fee would be assessed regardless of how many calls were made to or from a number and, in fact, regardless of whether the number actually was used at all. Colleges and universities typically use many telephone numbers to serve their faculty, staff and students. Even relatively small campuses can use thousands of numbers.

As a consequence, the net effect of adopting the AT&T/Verizon approach to universal service contributions would be to increase the burden of those contributions on colleges and universities significantly. The Association for Information Communications Technology Professionals in Higher Education has calculated that, at a rate of \$1 per number per month, the average college or university would see its universal service contribution rise under the AT&T/Verizon proposal to nearly eight times the current level. That is, from an average of more than \$13,000 a year to an average of about \$100,000 a year. In the case of Marquette, the increase would be from \$45,600 a year to \$228,000 a year.

This additional financial burden would be particularly onerous at this time, especially for a nonprofit institution such as Marquette. As you are aware, the current economic situation makes it difficult for colleges and universities to cover increased costs in any area. As a result, any increased universal service costs would have to be covered by reducing expenditures in other areas rather than passing added costs onward to our students through tuition and fees.

Retaining the current revenue-based system for calculating contributions for commercial services will avoid imposing this burden on colleges and universities, as well as other users that have many telephone numbers assigned to them. This will not prevent the commission from reforming the contribution mechanism for consumer services. Maintaining the current system for commercial customers also will give the commission the time to analyze and evaluate alternatives that can address the issues caused by revenue-based contributions without placing a disproportionate burden on nonprofit colleges and universities. For instance, the commission could recognize that the burden placed on the telephone network by large consumers of telephone numbers is not proportional to how many numbers are assigned to those customers, and adopt equivalency ratios such as those that are now in place for the subscriber line charge.

Regardless of the approach the commission ultimately takes, it should ensure that colleges and universities do not experience the kind of rate shock that would result from adoption of a system based solely on number assignments, and should ensure that the potential customer impacts of any new contribution methodology are addressed before the new methodology is adopted.

In accordance with Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, four copies of this letter are being filed with the Secretary's office on this date.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions or concerns that you may have.

Sincerely,

Steven T. Schultz

Sten T. Selety

Manager, Governmental and Community Affairs

cc: Daniel Gonzalez

Amy Bender

Scott Deutchman

Scott Bergmann

Greg Orlando

Nicholas Alexander

Dana Shaffer

Jeremy Marcus

Alexander Minard

Carol Pomponio

Cindy Spiers

James Lande

Office of the Secretary (four copies)